

Point Cloud Self-supervised Learning via 3D to Multi-view Masked Learner

Zhimin Chen¹ Xuewei Chen¹ Xiao Guo² Yingwei Li³
Longlong Jing⁴ Liang Yang⁴ Bing Li¹

¹Clemson University ²Michigan State University ³Johns Hopkins University ⁴The City University of New York

Abstract

Recently, multi-modal masked autoencoders (MAE) has been introduced in 3D self-supervised learning, offering enhanced feature learning by leveraging both 2D and 3D data to capture richer cross-modal representations. However, these approaches have two limitations: (1) they inefficiently require both 2D and 3D modalities as inputs, even though the inherent multi-view properties of 3D point clouds already contain 2D modality. (2) input 2D modality causes the reconstruction learning to unnecessarily rely on visible 2D information, hindering 3D geometric representation learning. To address these challenges, we propose a 3D to Multi-View Learner (Multi-View ML) that only utilizes 3D modalities as inputs and effectively capture rich spatial information in 3D point clouds. Specifically, we first project 3D point clouds to multi-view 2D images at the feature level based on 3D-based pose. Then, we introduce two components: (1) a 3D to multi-view autoencoder that reconstructs point clouds and multi-view images from 3D and projected 2D features; (2) a multi-scale multi-head (MSMH) attention mechanism that facilitates local-global information interactions in each decoder transformer block through attention heads at various scales. Additionally, a novel two-stage self-training strategy is proposed to align 2D and 3D representations. Our method outperforms state-of-the-art counterparts across various downstream tasks, including 3D classification, part segmentation, and object detection.

1. Introduction

3D vision is a critical research area with applications in autonomous driving [7, 14, 21, 29, 32, 54, 71] and robotics [24, 45, 51, 59, 72, 73], thanks to its ability to model and interpret the real world. However, manually collecting and annotating 3D point cloud data is both expensive and time-consuming, posing significant challenges for developing effective 3D models. Consequently, self-supervised learning (SSL) of 3D representations has emerged as a key research direction.

Current self-supervised learning (SSL) approaches for

3D data primarily focus on two types of feature learning: (1) Semantic and Textual Representations and (2) 3D Geometric Features. Methods such as I2P-MAE [68] and ReCon [40] fall into the first category, where their primary contribution lies in leveraging foundation models for knowledge distillation and semantic feature extraction. However, a fundamental limitation remains: there is no established 3D foundation model specifically designed for geometric feature distillation. Unlike 2D vision, where large-scale pre-trained models provide strong transferable representations, 3D data lacks an equivalent foundation model capable of effectively capturing and distilling geometric information. This limitation makes it impractical to rely solely on existing foundation models for 3D geometric learning. Therefore, developing self-supervised strategies that directly enhance geometric feature learning is critical for advancing 3D representation learning. Moreover, geometric features are inherently complementary to semantic features derived from foundation models, and thus a stronger understanding of 3D geometry can further improve overall model performance.

Recent works have leveraged 2D images to enhance 3D self-supervised learning [4, 9, 10, 16, 25, 35, 40, 55]. Specifically, methods such as Joint-MAE [16] and Pi-MAE [4] utilize masked images and point clouds as inputs to reconstruct complete images and point clouds. However, we argue that using both 2D and 3D modalities as inputs in these MAE-based methods presents two significant drawbacks. **First**, incorporating both 2D and 3D modalities as input for training is redundant and inefficient. Point clouds inherently encapsulate multi-modal data, as they can be directly translated into multi-view depth images or rendered as 2D images. This eliminates the necessity for input images for 2D reconstruction. **Secondly**, using 2D images as input may cause the network to rely heavily on visible 2D information when predicting masked regions. Specifically, when 2D view information is directly provided, it inadvertently "leaks" viewpoint semantic information to the network. Consequently, the underlying multi-view geometric information is neglected, preventing the network from developing a comprehensive understand-

ing of how the 2D view should appear from different angles. As a result, the model is unable to develop multi-view geometric representations, which is essential for effective 3D representation learning, as highlighted in previous studies [8, 17, 18, 48, 50, 65, 66].

To address these limitations, we propose leveraging the intrinsic multi-modal nature of point clouds without the redundant use of input images, thereby enhancing the efficiency and effectiveness of 3D self-supervised learning. More formally, we introduce an innovative method called Multiview Masked Learner (Multiview-ML), designed to extract the multi-view 2D information inherently embedded within 3D point clouds, thus advancing 3D representation learning. Specifically, we input point clouds into an initial encoder to obtain intermediate 3D encoded tokens. Using the provided pose information, we then project these intermediate 3D tokens onto 2D image tokens through our proposed view-based feature projection (see Figure 2a). The projected multi-view 2D tokens and the original 3D tokens, augmented with modality, positional, and pose embeddings, are then fed into a fusion encoder to obtain the corresponding encoded 3D and multi-view 2D features. Subsequently, we pass these features into two separate decoders to independently reconstruct the complete multi-view 2D images and 3D point clouds.

Furthermore, to enhance the integration of local attention mechanisms for reconstruction, we introduce a Multi-Scale Multi-Head (MSMH) attention mechanism—a simple yet effective module that provides broader local and global attention. Unlike previous standard attention mechanisms, MSMH organizes tokens into distinct, non-overlapping local groups. Self-attention is then applied within each subgroup rather than across all individual tokens. Additionally, we implement a multi-scale design with varying group sizes, allowing smaller groups to capture fine-grained local details while larger groups capture broader global context. Finally, we concatenate the multi-scale attention features to ensure the model effectively acquires both local and global information.

Moreover, unlike previous methods [16, 36], which primarily focus on reconstructing raw masked inputs, our approach involves reconstructing masked 2D and 3D representations within a latent space, drawing inspiration from the SimSiam [6]. We propose a two-stage training procedure to enhance multi-modal masked representation learning: *Stage One*: 3D to multi-view autoencoder (teacher model) takes the complete point cloud as input and outputs both the reconstructed point cloud and multi-view images. The autoencoder extracts latent features that contain rich geometric information, effectively restoring the point cloud and multi-view images. *Stage Two*: We introduce a student network based on a masked autoencoder, which leverages masked point clouds to predict features generated

by the teacher encoder in the first stage. Notably, our objective function is designed to predict latent space features instead of 3D point clouds. This ensures that the student model learns well-aligned and contextualized representations across modalities.

We conducted extensive experiments to validate our Multiview-ML approach. Our method significantly outperforms previous approaches across downstream tasks such as 3D shape classification, part segmentation, and object detection, underscoring its effectiveness in learning robust 3D geometric representations. A key insight from our study is *the limited effectiveness of using 2D images as input for 3D geometric learning through MAE*. We believe this finding presents an intriguing opportunity in the design space for developing learning strategies for 3D representation learning. Our key contributions are summarized as follows:

- We propose a 3D to multi-view autoencoder that reconstructs point clouds and multi-view images solely from 3D point clouds, eliminating the need for additional input images and enhancing the 3D geometric feature learning.
- We propose a Multi-Scale Multi-Head (MSMH) attention mechanism that integrates local and global contextual information by organizing distinct, non-overlapping local groups at multiple scales within reconstructed features.
- We develop a two-stage training strategy for multi-modality masked feature prediction, enhancing the alignment between 2D and 3D representations by having the student network predict the teacher’s latent features from masked point clouds.
- Our method outperforms existing approaches across various downstream tasks, underscoring the importance of leveraging the inherent multi-view 2D information in point clouds for effective 3D representation learning.

2. Relative Work

Masked Autoencoder for Point Cloud. MAE [19] masks random patches of an input image and reconstructs the missing pixels, effectively learning modality-specific representations. This approach has achieved significant success across various domains [12, 41, 42, 46, 47]. Recently, Point-BERT [62] and Point-MAE [36] adapted MAE to reconstruct masked 3D point clouds. Furthermore, Zhang et al.[67] proposed PointM2AE, which uses pyramid architectures to capture both fine-grained and high-level semantic features of 3D shapes. However, these methods primarily focus on a single 3D modality. In contrast, multi-modality MAE[2, 15] has drawn attention to learning multiple modalities complementary representations. Yet, research on multi-modality masked autoencoders for point cloud learning remains limited. Few Recent frameworks, such as Joint-MAE [16] and PiMAE [4], propose 2D-3D MAE approaches by reconstructing 2D and 3D inputs. However, we argue that utilizing 2D input is redundant,




Figure 1. Overview of the stage one training process of the teacher model. The input point clouds are first encoded into intermediate 3D tokens using a 3D encoder. Leveraging the provided pose information, these tokens are projected onto multi-view (MV) 2D image tokens through our proposed view-based feature projection method. Modality and pose embeddings are then added to both the projected 2D tokens and the intermediate 3D tokens. These tokens are concatenated and refined by a multi-modal fusion module. Finally, the refined features are fed into two separate decoders that independently reconstruct the complete multi-view 2D images and the 3D point clouds.

as 3D data already includes multi-view 2D information. Unlike previous works that require both 3D point clouds and corresponding images for 3D representation learning, Multiview-ML uses only 3D point clouds as input, fully leveraging multi-view information to enhance learning efficiency.

Multimodal Feature Learning. Recent studies [1, 20, 23, 26–28, 34, 43, 58, 63, 64, 70] have demonstrated that pre-trained multimodal models offer highly transferable representations, significantly boosting performance across various downstream tasks. For example, CrossPoint [1] employs contrastive learning to align point clouds with their corresponding 2D images in a shared latent space. MVTN [17] enhances 3D object understanding by exploiting multi-view image correspondences. Sautier et al.[49] introduce an object-level contrastive loss between 2D and 3D representations, while TAP[55] presents a 3D-to-2D generative pre-training strategy. Unlike prior one-stage approaches that focus solely on reconstructing point clouds, our two-stage strategy emphasizes better alignment between 2D and 3D representations. In this work, we propose a novel two-stage pre-training framework that enhances the alignment of 2D and 3D representations, ensuring that our model learns well-aligned and contextualized multimodal features.

3. Method

This section introduces our proposed Multiview-ML. In Sec.3.1, we describe how 3D point clouds are projected into

multi-view 2D depth maps and encoded with positional, modality, and pose embeddings. Sec.3.2 details our proposed 3D to multi-view encoder architecture, which integrates these multi-view 2D tokens with the original 3D tokens through a fusion encoder. Additionally, Sec.3.3 and Sec.3.4 present the Multi-Scale Multi-Head (MSMH) decoder and our two-stage training strategy, respectively.


3.1. 3D to Multi-view Projection and Encoding

Depth Map Projection. To establish 2D-3D correspondence, we project the 3D point clouds into multi-view 2D depth images. These depth images then guide the reconstruction from 3D to 2D. We utilize PyTorch3D [44] to project the 3D coordinates onto 2D coordinates using the provided pose information. The 2D coordinates are subsequently converted into token indices $I\hat{d}x$ using the following formulation:


$$I\hat{d}x = \hat{X}/(H_i/H_t) * H_t + \hat{Y}/(W_i/W_t), \quad (1)$$

where H_i, W_i represents the height and width of the depth map. H_t, W_t represents the height and width of the 2D token map size. We project 3D coordinates $(X, Y, Z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ to 2D coordinates $(\hat{X}, \hat{Y}) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ in a specific view. In our experiments, we use a projected image size of 224×224 and an image token size of 16, resulting in 196 tokens per image view.

Positional Encodings. Following Point-MAE [36], our method applies positional encodings to all 3D-related attention layers. For point tokens, we utilize a two-layer MLP to encode their corresponding 3D coordinates into C -channel



(a) 3D to multi-view tokens-level projection.



(b) Model architecture of 3D to multi-view encoder.

Figure 2. (a) Feature-level projection of 3D point tokens to multi-view 2D tokens. Those point tokens are grouped based on their corresponding image token indices, forming aggregated image token features. (b) The 3D to multi-view encoder for Multiview-ML. Encoded point tokens obtained from 3D encoder are processed by projection heads, projected into multi-view image token positions, and padded to full image token sizes. Those image tokens are concatenated with point tokens and sent to the fusion encoder to further extract both 2D and 3D information.

vectors $\mathbf{O}^{3D}$, those are then added element-wise to the token features before being fed into the attention layer. Additionally, we add modality-specific 2D sinusoidal positional embeddings $\mathbf{O}^{2D}$ to the image tokens $\mathbf{I}$.

Modality Encodings. To enhance the model’s ability to distinguish between different modalities within the shared encoder, we add modality-type embeddings $\mathbf{M}^{2D}$ and $\mathbf{M}^{3D}$ to the point tokens $\mathbf{P}$ and image tokens $\mathbf{I}$, respectively, before sending them to the fusion decoder. We employ two-layer MLP to encode the modality classes of tokens.

Pose Encodings. In the 3D to multi-view autoencoder, our method uses masked 3D tokens to reconstruct fully original depth images from various poses. To incorporate view information into the decoder, we utilize a two-layer MLP to encode the view information of each depth map as $\mathbf{V}^k$ for a specific pose k and add it to image tokens before the decoding stage.

3D to 2D multi-view features projection. After obtaining the point tokens $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_1 \times D}$ from the initial encoder, we project them into the 2D token space of a specific view, as illustrated in Figure 2a. Tokens are then divided into groups $\{\mathbf{T}_i^g\}_{i=1}^G$ according to the index $\hat{Idx}$ obtained from the formula described in Eq. 1. The number of groups G is dynamic, depending on the specific projection. We apply max-pooling and average-pooling to aggregate point tokens that are projected onto the same image tokens. The fused point features are then converted into the image space using an MLP layer:

$$\mathbf{I}^g = \text{MLP} \left(\{\text{Max}(\mathbf{T}_i^g) + \text{Ave}(\mathbf{T}_i^g)\}_{i=1}^G \right) \quad (2)$$

As illustrated in Fig. 2a, $\mathbf{I}^g$ cannot be directly mapped to every corresponding 2D view token, leaving certain regions of the projected 2D images blank. Hence, when the number of $\mathbf{I}^g$ is smaller than the 2D image token size (196 in our case), we apply padding for alignment. Subsequently, another MLP layer projects $\mathbf{I}^g$ into the specific view k , incorporating modality and pose embeddings:

$$\mathbf{I}_k^f = \text{MLP}(\text{Pad}(\mathbf{I}^g) + \mathbf{M}^{2D} + \mathbf{V}^k + \mathbf{O}^{2D}). \quad (3)$$

3.2. 3D to Multi-view Encoder

To ensure a fair comparison with previous methods [16, 36], *make our 3D-to-multi-view encoder identical to the plain transformer by adopting the same architecture* and equally partitioning its layers into two parts. The first part functions as the 3D encoder $\mathcal{E}$, responsible for extracting 3D token embeddings, while the remaining layers serve as the multi-modal fusion encoder $\mathcal{E}_r$. Initially, token features $\mathbf{P}$ are extracted from input point clouds using $\mathcal{E}$. These point cloud token features are then mapped to a multi-view image feature space through MLP layers, incorporating 2D modality embeddings and corresponding pose embeddings. This process generates multi-view image tokens $\{\mathbf{I}_k^f\}_{k=1}^K$ for each specific view k as detailed in the Eq. 3. As illustrated in Fig. 2b, we concatenate the multi-view image features $\{\mathbf{I}_k^f\}_{k=1}^K$ with point features $\mathbf{P}^f$ to form a unified embedding. This unified embedding is subsequently processed by the fusion encoder $\mathcal{E}_f$ as follows to obtain refined output $\mathbf{P}^e$ and $\{\mathbf{I}_k^e\}_{k=1}^K$:




Figure 3. Illustration of the second-stage training process. In this stage, the pre-trained *3D to multi-view encoder* from the first stage act as teacher models, guiding the learning of the student model, which consists of a 3D to multi-view encoder and two MSMH decoders. The training involves two key objectives: (1) Inter/intra model distillation: Global features, obtained by max-pooling from both the teacher and student models, are distilled to transfer global information from the teacher model and ensure feature alignment. (2) Token-level reconstruction: Outputs of the teacher encoder are aligned with the student decoder’s predictions for masked tokens feature prediction, facilitating the learning of well-aligned, contextualized representations across both 2D and 3D modalities.

$$\mathbf{P}^e, \{\mathbf{I}_i^e\}_{k=1}^K = \mathcal{E}_f \left(\text{Concat}(\mathbf{P}^f, \{\mathbf{I}_k^f\}_{k=1}^K) \right), \quad (4)$$

here, K represents the number of views for depth map projection and reconstruction. It is worth noting that even if we refer to the shared encoder and the fusion encoder as different components, they are still the same as the original baseline encoder.

3.3. Decoder with Multi-Scale Multi-Head Attention

To effectively capture both local intricacies and global contexts, we introduce an advanced Multi-Scale Multi-Head (MSMH) attention mechanism. This module enables each attention head to independently perform self-attention across various local scopes within point clouds and image segments. Specifically, MSMH attention organizes tokens into distinct, non-overlapping local groups and applies self-attention within each subgroup rather than across all individual tokens. Additionally, our multi-scale design incorporates varying group sizes, allowing smaller groups to capture fine-grained local details while larger groups capture broader global context. We then concatenate the multi-scale attention features to ensure the model integrates both local and global information effectively. By enhancing the baseline model’s decoder, we replace the conventional Multi-

Head Attention mechanism with our proposed MSMH attention, facilitating the nuanced capture of both local and global attention dynamics in every decoder block.

More formally, the MSMH module is structured with h parallel multi-scale heads, mathematically represented as:

$$\text{MSMH}(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{V}) = \text{Concat}(\text{SH}_1, \dots, \text{SH}_h) W^O \quad (5)$$

Where SH represent ScaleHead. Unlike conventional Multi-Head Attention (MHA) approaches, each head $_i$ in our model performs self-attention within distinct, non-overlapping local scales of size scale_i . This is achieved by partitioning the input matrices $\mathbf{QW}_i^Q, \mathbf{KW}_i^K, \mathbf{VW}_i^V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times C}$ into smaller segments $\mathbf{Q}_{\text{scale}_i}, \mathbf{K}_{\text{scale}_i}, \mathbf{V}_{\text{scale}_i} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times \text{scale}_i \times C_k}$, where $n = m \times \text{scale}_i$.

$$\text{ScaleHead}_i = \text{SA}(\mathbf{QW}_i^Q, \mathbf{KW}_i^K, \mathbf{VW}_i^V, \text{scale}_i). \quad (6)$$

where SA represents ScaleAttention. The pseudo-code of the ScaleAttention is provided in the Appendix. This is followed by a standard self-attention process: $\mathbf{H}_{\text{scale}_i} = \text{Attention}(\mathbf{Q}_{\text{scale}_i}, \mathbf{K}_{\text{scale}_i}, \mathbf{V}_{\text{scale}_i})$ on these partitioned inputs. Finally, $\mathbf{H}_{\text{scale}_i} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times \text{scale}_i \times C_k}$ is reshaped to $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times C_k}$ to get the output.

In the proposed MSMH module, individual attention heads capture information at multiple local contexts, and the final projection matrix determines the weighting of

Method	Foundation model	ScanObjectNN [53]			ModelNet40 [56]	
		OBJ-BG	OBJ-ONLY	PB-T50-RS	w/o Vote	w Vote
Point-MAE [36]	×	90.02	88.29	85.18	93.2	93.8
Joint-MAE [16]	×	90.94	88.86	86.07	—	94.0
TAP [55]	×	90.36	89.50	85.67	—	—
Point-GPT [5]	×	91.6	90.0	86.9	94.0	94.2
Point-M2AE [67]	×	91.22	88.81	86.43	93.4	94.0
Ours (Point-MAE)	×	93.32 (3.3 ↑)	92.69 (4.4 ↑)	88.93 (3.8 ↑)	93.8 (0.6 ↑)	94.1 (0.3 ↑)
Ours (Point-M2AE)	×	95.10 (↑ 3.9)	93.56 (4.8 ↑)	90.37 (3.9 ↑)	94.0 (0.6 ↑)	94.4 (0.4 ↑)
ACT [13]	✓	93.29	91.91	88.21	93.7	—
I2P-MAE [68]	✓	94.15	91.57	90.11	93.7	94.1
ReCon [40]	✓	95.18	93.63	90.63	94.1	94.5
Ours (I2P-MAE)	✓	95.72 (↑ 1.57)	94.28 (2.71 ↑)	91.36 (1.25 ↑)	94.0 (0.3 ↑)	94.3 (0.2 ↑)
Ours (ReCon)	✓	96.03 (↑ 0.85)	95.32 (1.69 ↑)	92.06 (1.43 ↑)	94.3 (0.2 ↑)	94.7 (0.2 ↑)

Table 1. Shape classification performance on ScanObjectNN and ModelNet40, measured by accuracy (%). [Key: **Best results**].

each head, facilitating interactions across scales. By allowing each head to focus on varying spatial dimensions, the MSMH mechanism facilitates a more dynamic and flexible interpretation of data, effectively capturing both intricate details and broad patterns. This innovative approach significantly enhances the modeling of local and global relationships.

3.4. Multi-modality Masked Feature Prediction

Our model, as depicted in Fig. 3, adopts a dual-branch strategy. In this setup, each branch processes different types of inputs: the teacher branch processes unmasked, complete point cloud data, while the student branch deals with the corresponding masked data. During training, the flow of gradients through the teacher branch is intentionally stopped, encouraging the student branch to closely mimic the representations provided by the teacher.

Specifically, the teacher branch’s encoders take in unmasked point cloud data to produce aligned and context-rich target representations. The student model is then tasked with reconstructing these target 2D and 3D representations using only the visible (unmasked) 3D inputs. The reconstruction loss is quantified as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{token} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{MSE}(\mathbf{P}_i^{pre}, \mathbf{P}_i^{tea}) + \frac{1}{km} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^K \text{MSE}(\mathbf{I}_{ik}^{pre}, \mathbf{I}_{ik}^{tea}). \quad (7)$$

Furthermore, we introduce instance-level intra- and inter-modality losses. Our approach shares similarities with SimSiam [6] in encouraging representations from one view to predict those from another. However, our method extends SimSiam to a multi-modal framework tailored for masked autoencoder networks. Specifically, we pool the represen-

tations from visible input tokens and use MLP layers to predict both 2D and 3D instance-level representations derived from the complete inputs. This design enhances the learning of meaningful representations across modalities. The intra- and inter-modality distill losses are formulated as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{intra} = \text{MSE}(\text{MLP}(\mathbf{P}_{ins}^{pre}), \mathbf{P}_{ins}^{tea}) + \text{MSE}(\text{MLP}(\mathbf{I}_{ins}^{pre}), \mathbf{I}_{ins}^{tea}) \quad (8)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{inter} = \text{MSE}(\text{MLP}(\mathbf{P}_{ins}^{pre}), \mathbf{I}_{ins}^{tea}) + \text{MSE}(\text{MLP}(\mathbf{I}_{ins}^{pre}), \mathbf{P}_{ins}^{tea}) \quad (9)$$

Finally, we sum up all three losses as the final loss for our method:

$$\mathcal{L}_{final} = 0.5\mathcal{L}_{intra} + 0.5\mathcal{L}_{inter} + \mathcal{L}_{token} \quad (10)$$

In the first stage of training, the teacher model is trained on a raw input reconstruction task using full point cloud data without masking. The objective is to reconstruct both the complete point clouds and the corresponding multi-view depth images. Loss functions for this phase include Chamfer Distance for 3D coordinates and Mean Squared Error (MSE) for the 2D depth images across multiple views:

$$\mathcal{L}_{teacher} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^N \text{Chamfer}(\mathbf{P}_i^{pre}, \mathbf{P}_i^{gt}) + \frac{1}{km} \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^M \text{MSE}(\mathbf{I}_{ij}^{pre}, \mathbf{I}_{ij}^{gt}). \quad (11)$$

After pre-training the teacher model, we leverage the pre-trained teacher from the first stage and keep it frozen

during the main training phase. This two-stage design ensures that the student models learn well-aligned and contextualized representations across modalities.

4. Experiments

4.1. Self-Supervised Pre-training

Datasets. In our experiments, we use several datasets, including ShapeNet [3], ModelNet40 [56], ScanObjectNN [53], ShapeNetPart dataset [60], and ScanNetV2 dataset [11]. The ShapeNet [3] comprises about 51,300 clean 3D models. The widely adopted ModelNet40 [56] consists of synthetic 3D shapes of 40 categories, of which 9,843 samples are for training and the other 2,468 are for validation. The ScanObjectNN [52] contains 11,416 training and 2,882 validation point clouds of 15 categories. ShapeNet [3] dataset. ScanObjectNN is divided into three splits for evaluation, OBJ-BG, OBJ-ONLY, and PB-T50-RS. ShapeNetPart [60] is a widely used dataset for 3D semantic segmentation, which consists of 16,881 models across 16 categories. The ScanNet [11] is an indoor scene dataset consisting of 1,513 reconstructed meshes, among which 1,201 are training samples and 312 are validation samples.

4.2. Downstream Tasks

Shape Classification. We evaluate our method on the ModelNet40 [56] and ScanObjectNN [53] datasets, representing synthetic and real-world 3D objects, respectively. Data augmentation techniques, including random scaling and translation, are applied during training. For fair comparison on ModelNet40, we adopt the standard voting method [31] used in Point-BERT. As shown in Table 1, our method achieves 94.4% accuracy, outperforming training from scratch by 3.0% and surpassing the baseline Point-M2AE by 0.4%, a notable gain given the dataset’s limited size. For ScanObjectNN, we evaluate across OBJ-BG, OBJ-ONLY, and PB-T50-RS splits, where our method significantly surpasses Point-MAE and Point-M2AE. Notably, we also outperform Joint-MAE [16], which adopts a multi-modality MAE framework but neglects the intrinsic multi-view nature of point clouds. This highlights the importance of leveraging multi-view geometric information in 3D pre-training for enhanced representation learning. Furthermore, our method outperforms foundation model-based approaches such as ACT[13] and I2P-MAE[68] and achieves results comparable to the SOTA ReCon [40]. While I2P-MAE and ReCon primarily focus on semantic feature distillation, our method advances 3D geometric learning. To validate its generality, we integrate our 3D-to-multi-view projection and MSMH module into both I2P-MAE and ReCon, enabling multi-view image reconstruction from 3D input. Experimental results confirm that our approach en-

hances existing methods, demonstrating that the 3D geometric features learned through our method are complementary to the semantic features distilled from foundation models. By leveraging the inherent multimodal nature of point clouds, our method complements foundation models and strengthens 3D representation learning.

Part Segmentation. We evaluate our method’s representation learning capability on the ShapeNetPart dataset [60], which contains 14,007 and 2,874 samples with 16 object categories and 50 part categories for training and validation. Following previous method [36], we sample 2,048 points from each input instance and adopt the same segmentation head [37, 38] for the fair comparison, which concatenates the output features from different transformer blocks of the encoder. The head only conducts simple upsampling for point tokens at different stages and concatenates them alone with the feature dimension as the output. We report mean IoU (mIoU) for all instances, with IoU for each category. Table 2 indicates that our method improves the baseline method Point-MAE and surpasses the state-of-the-art method Point-M2AE in all settings.

3D Object Detection. To demonstrate the generality of the proposed method, we also pre-train the Multiview-ML on the indoor ScanNetV2 dataset [11] and subsequently fine-tune our method on the object detection task. Our baseline is 3DETR [33]. We utilize the same backbone as 3DETR. The Table 3 indicates that Our method achieves 63.9 AP₂₅ (+1.8) and 43.3 AP₅₀ (+5.4) compared to the baseline on the ScanNetV2 [11] dataset. Furthermore, we benchmark our method against PiMAE [4]. PiMAE is designed to leverage both masked single RGB images and point clouds for reconstructing the original image and point clouds. Despite PiMAE’s ability to enable point clouds to learn texture information from images, it overlooks the multi-view attributes of point clouds. Our method’s considerable superiority over PiMAE underscores the critical importance of leveraging multi-view information for effective 3D representation learning.

4.3. Ablation Study

The Effectiveness of Each Proposed Component. The ablation study presented in Table 4 underscores the substantial impact of our proposed 3D to multi-view Multi-Modal Learner in advancing 3D representation learning, particularly in comparison to the baseline Point-MAE [36], which relies solely on the 3D modality. Our method, which directly reconstructs multi-modality masked representations from visible point clouds, already demonstrates a significant performance boost over Point-MAE. This observation underscores the efficacy of token-level representation prediction for 3D representation learning. Moreover, our approach leverages instance-level intra and inter-modality representation prediction, further enhancing performance. By

Method	[P]	mIoU _C	mIoU _I
PointNet [37]		80.39	83.70
Transformer [61]		83.42	85.10
Point-BERT [61]	✓	84.11	85.60
Point-MAE [36]	✓	-	86.10
Joint-MAE [36]	✓	85.41	86.28
Point-M2AE [67]	✓	84.86	86.51
ACT [13]	✓	84.66	86.14
I2P-MAE [68]	✓	85.15	86.76
ReCon [40]	✓	84.80	86.40
Ours(Point-MAE)	✓	85.58	86.79
Ours(Point-M2AE)	✓	85.66	86.91

Table 2. Part segmentation on ShapeNetPart [60]. mIoU_C (%) and mIoU_I (%) denote the mean IoU across all part categories and all instances in the dataset, respectively. [P] represents fine-tuning after self-supervised pre-training.

Token Rec	Instance Rec	MSMH	PB-T50-RS
-	-	-	85.18
✓	-	-	87.07
-	✓	-	86.26
-	-	✓	86.03
✓	✓	-	88.11
✓	-	✓	87.92
-	✓	✓	87.19
✓	✓	✓	88.93

Table 4. Ablation study for the effectiveness of each proposed component on the 3D classification task in ScanObjectNN dataset.

utilizing global features of masked tokens to predict global representations derived from complete inputs, the network gains a deeper understanding of global information and becomes more robust, thus improving representation learning.

The Effectiveness of Input and Output Modality. We introduce a novel method to reconstruct both 3D and 2D multi-view representations using only 3D data. Our ablation study (Table 5) demonstrates the effectiveness of the 3D to multi-view feature projection technique. By maintaining consistent settings for masked token reconstruction, intra/inter-level representation predictions, and Multi-Scale Multi-Head Attention, our approach with solely 3D input and output significantly outperforms the baseline Point-MAE, highlighting its strength in 3D representation learning. Incorporating both 3D and 2D inputs for reconstructing 3D and 2D outputs yields only marginal gains, similar to findings in Joint-MAE [16]. Notably, our method, which *exclusively uses 3D inputs*, demonstrated the best overall performance. We argue that adding 2D depth leads the network to heavily rely on the visible 2D information to predict

Methods	[P]	AP ₂₅	AP ₅₀
VoteNet [39]		58.6	33.5
PointContrast [57]	✓	59.2	38.0
DepthContrast [69]	✓	64.0	42.9
DPCo [22]	✓	64.2	41.5
3DETR [33]		62.1	37.9
+Point-BERT[62]	✓	61.0	38.3
+Point-MAE [36]	✓	62.8	40.1
+MaskPoint [30]	✓	63.4	40.6
+ACT [13]	✓	63.5	41.0
+PiMAE [4]	✓	63.1	40.8
+TAP [55]	✓	63.0	41.4
+ Ours	✓	63.9	43.3

Table 3. 3D object detection results on ScanNet dataset. We adopt the average precision with 3D IoU thresholds of 0.25 (AP₂₅) and 0.5 (AP₅₀) for the evaluation metrics. [P] represents fine-tuning after self-supervised pre-training.

Input Modality	Output Modality	PB-T50-RS
3D	3D	86.76
3D	2D	84.25
3D & 2D	3D & 2D	87.02
3D	3D & 2D	88.93

Table 5. Ablation study for the effectiveness of input and output modalities on the 3D object classification task in ScanObjectNN dataset.

the masked 2D content without the need to fully understand the multi-view geometry setting and thus degrade the representation learning. This finding illustrates the added value of integrating multi-view and pose-related information into the 3D representation learning paradigm

5. Conclusion

This paper introduces Multiview-ML, an novel method for 3D representation learning that capitalizes on the multi-view nature of 3D point clouds. Unlike previous approaches, our method uniquely employs masked point clouds to reconstruct their original forms and generate multiple depth images from different views. This approach harnesses the multi-view features and spatial information within point clouds, distinguishing our method from existing multi-modal MAE techniques. Additionally, we introduce a multi-scale multi-head attention mechanism that enhances the interplay between local and global perspectives within each decoder transformer block, utilizing attention heads across various scales. Finally, a novel self-training strategy is proposed, aiming to generate aligned and context-rich masked 2D and 3D representations based on the initial learning objectives. Our experimental results

showcase the superior performance of Multiview-ML over current leading SSL 3D learning methods across various downstream applications, highlighting its effectiveness in fully leveraging the multi-view attributes of 3D data.

References

- [1] Mohamed Afham, Isuru Dissanayake, Dinithi Dissanayake, Amaya Dharmasiri, Kanchana Thilakarathna, and Ranga Rodrigo. Crosspoint: Self-supervised cross-modal contrastive learning for 3d point cloud understanding. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 9902–9912, 2022. 3
- [2] Roman Bachmann, David Mizrahi, Andrei Atanov, and Amir Zamir. Multimaes: Multi-modal multi-task masked autoencoders. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 348–367. Springer, 2022. 2
- [3] Angel X Chang, Thomas Funkhouser, Leonidas Guibas, Pat Hanrahan, Qixing Huang, Zimo Li, Silvio Savarese, Manolis Savva, Shuran Song, Hao Su, et al. Shapenet: An information-rich 3d model repository. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1512.03012*, 2015. 7
- [4] Anthony Chen, Kevin Zhang, Renrui Zhang, Zihan Wang, Yuheng Lu, Yandong Guo, and Shanghang Zhang. Pimae: Point cloud and image interactive masked autoencoders for 3d object detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 5291–5301, 2023. 1, 2, 7, 8
- [5] Guangyan Chen, Meiling Wang, Yi Yang, Kai Yu, Li Yuan, and Yufeng Yue. Pointgpt: Auto-regressively generative pre-training from point clouds. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024. 6
- [6] Xinlei Chen and Kaiming He. Exploring simple siamese representation learning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 15750–15758, 2021. 2, 6
- [7] Zhimin Chen and Bing Li. Dyconfidmatch: Dynamic thresholding and re-sampling for 3d semi-supervised learning. *Pattern Recognition*, 159:111154, 2025. 1
- [8] Zhimin Chen, Longlong Jing, Yang Liang, YingLi Tian, and Bing Li. Multimodal semi-supervised learning for 3d objects. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.11601*, 2021. 2
- [9] Zhimin Chen, Longlong Jing, Yingwei Li, and Bing Li. Bridging the domain gap: Self-supervised 3d scene understanding with foundation models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024. 1
- [10] Zhimin Chen, Liang Yang, Yingwei Li, Longlong Jing, and Bing Li. Sam-guided masked token prediction for 3d scene understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.12158*, 2024. 1
- [11] Angela Dai, Angel X Chang, Manolis Savva, Maciej Halber, Thomas Funkhouser, and Matthias Nießner. Scannet: Richly-annotated 3d reconstructions of indoor scenes. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 5828–5839, 2017. 7
- [12] Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805*, 2018. 2
- [13] Runpei Dong, Zekun Qi, Linfeng Zhang, Junbo Zhang, Jianjian Sun, Zheng Ge, Li Yi, and Kaisheng Ma. Autoencoders as cross-modal teachers: Can pretrained 2d image transformers help 3d representation learning? *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.08320*, 2022. 6, 7, 8
- [14] Kaiwen Duan, Song Bai, Lingxi Xie, Honggang Qi, Qingming Huang, and Qi Tian. Centernet: Keypoint triplets for object detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 6569–6578, 2019. 1
- [15] Yuan Gong, Andrew Rouditchenko, Alexander H Liu, David Harwath, Leonid Karlinsky, Hilde Kuehne, and James Glass. Contrastive audio-visual masked autoencoder. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.07839*, 2022. 2
- [16] Ziyu Guo, Xianzhi Li, and Pheng Ann Heng. Joint-mae: 2d-3d joint masked autoencoders for 3d point cloud pre-training. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.14007*, 2023. 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 8
- [17] Abdullah Hamdi, Silvio Giancola, and Bernard Ghanem. Mvtn: Multi-view transformation network for 3d shape recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 1–11, 2021. 2, 3
- [18] Abdullah Hamdi, Silvio Giancola, and Bernard Ghanem. Voint cloud: Multi-view point cloud representation for 3d understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.15363*, 2021. 2
- [19] Kaiming He, Xinlei Chen, Saining Xie, Yanghao Li, Piotr Dollár, and Ross Girshick. Masked autoencoders are scalable vision learners. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 16000–16009, 2022. 2
- [20] Longlong Jing, Yucheng Chen, Ling Zhang, Mingyi He, and Yingli Tian. Self-supervised modal and view invariant feature learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.14169*, 2020. 3
- [21] Wenqing Kuang, Xiongwei Zhao, Yehui Shen, Congcong Wen, Huimin Lu, Zongtan Zhou, and Xieyuanli Chen. Reslpr: A lidar data restoration network and benchmark for robust place recognition against weather corruptions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.12350*, 2025. 1
- [22] Lanxiao Li and Michael Heizmann. A closer look at invariances in self-supervised pre-training for 3d vision. In *Computer Vision–ECCV 2022: 17th European Conference, Tel Aviv, Israel, October 23–27, 2022, Proceedings, Part XXX*, pages 656–673. Springer, 2022. 8
- [23] Yijiang Li, Xinjiang Wang, Lihe Yang, Litong Feng, Wayne Zhang, and Ying Gao. Diverse cotraining makes strong semi-supervised segmentor. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.09281*, 2023. 3
- [24] Yijiang Li, Qingying Gao, Tianwei Zhao, Bingyang Wang, Haoran Sun, Haiyun Lyu, Robert D Hawkins, Nuno Vasconcelos, Tal Golan, Dezhi Luo, et al. Core knowledge deficits in multi-modal language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.10855*, 2024. 1
- [25] Yijiang Li, Sucheng Ren, Weipeng Deng, Yuzhi Xu, Ying Gao, Edith Ngai, and Haohan Wang. Beyond finite data: Towards data-free out-of-distribution generalization via extrapolation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.05523*, 2024. 1
- [26] Yanshu Li, Hongyang He, Yi Cao, Qisen Cheng, Xiang Fu, and Ruixiang Tang. M2iv: Towards efficient and

- fine-grained multimodal in-context learning in large vision-language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2504.04633*, 2025. 3
- [27] Yanshu Li, JianJiang Yang, Bozheng Li, and Ruixiang Tang. Cama: Enhancing multimodal in-context learning with context-aware modulated attention. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2505.17097*, 2025.
- [28] Yanshu Li, Tian Yun, Jianjiang Yang, Pinyuan Feng, Jinfa Huang, and Ruixiang Tang. Taco: Enhancing multimodal in-context learning via task mapping-guided sequence configuration. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2505.17098*, 2025. 3
- [29] Zhiqi Li, Wenhai Wang, Hongyang Li, Enze Xie, Chonghao Sima, Tong Lu, Yu Qiao, and Jifeng Dai. Bevformer: Learning bird’s-eye-view representation from multi-camera images via spatiotemporal transformers. In *European conference on computer vision*, pages 1–18. Springer, 2022. 1
- [30] Haotian Liu, Mu Cai, and Yong Jae Lee. Masked discrimination for self-supervised learning on point clouds. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.11183*, 2022. 8
- [31] Yongcheng Liu, Bin Fan, Shiming Xiang, and Chunhong Pan. Relation-shape convolutional neural network for point cloud analysis. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 8895–8904, 2019. 7
- [32] Zhijian Liu, Haotian Tang, Alexander Amini, Xinyu Yang, Huizi Mao, Daniela L Rus, and Song Han. Bevfusion: Multi-task multi-sensor fusion with unified bird’s-eye view representation. In *2023 IEEE international conference on robotics and automation (ICRA)*, pages 2774–2781. IEEE, 2023. 1
- [33] Ishan Misra, Rohit Girdhar, and Armand Joulin. An end-to-end transformer model for 3d object detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 2906–2917, 2021. 7, 8
- [34] Pedro Morgado, Nuno Vasconcelos, and Ishan Misra. Audio-visual instance discrimination with cross-modal agreement. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 12475–12486, 2021. 3
- [35] Xin Ning, Zaiyang Yu, Lusi Li, Weijun Li, and Prayag Tiwari. DILF: differentiable rendering-based multi-view image-language fusion for zero-shot 3d shape understanding. *Inf. Fusion*, 102:102033, 2024. 1
- [36] Yatian Pang, Wenxiao Wang, Francis EH Tay, Wei Liu, Yonghong Tian, and Li Yuan. Masked autoencoders for point cloud self-supervised learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.06604*, 2022. 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8
- [37] Charles R Qi, Hao Su, Kaichun Mo, and Leonidas J Guibas. Pointnet: Deep learning on point sets for 3d classification and segmentation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 652–660, 2017. 7, 8
- [38] Charles Ruizhongtai Qi, Li Yi, Hao Su, and Leonidas J Guibas. Pointnet++: Deep hierarchical feature learning on point sets in a metric space. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30, 2017. 7
- [39] Charles R Qi, Or Litany, Kaiming He, and Leonidas J Guibas. Deep hough voting for 3d object detection in point clouds. In *proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 9277–9286, 2019. 8
- [40] Zekun Qi, Runpei Dong, Guofan Fan, Zheng Ge, Xiangyu Zhang, Kaisheng Ma, and Li Yi. Contrast with reconstruct: Contrastive 3d representation learning guided by generative pretraining. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.02318*, 2023. 1, 6, 7, 8
- [41] Alec Radford, Karthik Narasimhan, Tim Salimans, Ilya Sutskever, et al. Improving language understanding by generative pre-training. In *Proceedings of the 2019 3rd International Conference on Natural Language Processing and Information Retrieval*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, United States, 2019. 2
- [42] Alec Radford, Jeffrey Wu, Rewon Child, David Luan, Dario Amodei, Ilya Sutskever, et al. Language models are unsupervised multitask learners. *OpenAI blog*, 1(8):9, 2019. 2
- [43] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 8748–8763. PMLR, 2021. 3
- [44] Nikhila Ravi, Jeremy Reizenstein, David Novotny, Taylor Gordon, Wan-Yen Lo, Justin Johnson, and Georgia Gkioxari. Accelerating 3d deep learning with pytorch3d. *arXiv:2007.08501*, 2020. 3
- [45] Xuqian Ren and Yifan Liu. Semantic-guided multi-mask image harmonization. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 564–579. Springer, 2022. 1
- [46] Xuqian Ren, Matias Turkulainen, Jiepeng Wang, Otto Seiskari, Iaroslav Melekhov, Juho Kannala, and Esa Rahtu. Ags-mesh: Adaptive gaussian splatting and meshing with geometric priors for indoor room reconstruction using smartphones. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.19271*, 2024. 2
- [47] Xuqian Ren, Wenjia Wang, Dingding Cai, Tuuli Tuominen, Juho Kannala, and Esa Rahtu. Mushroom: Multi-sensor hybrid room dataset for joint 3d reconstruction and novel view synthesis. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision*, pages 4508–4517, 2024. 2
- [48] Damien Robert, Bruno Vallet, and Loic Landrieu. Learning multi-view aggregation in the wild for large-scale 3d semantic segmentation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 5575–5584, 2022. 2
- [49] Corentin Sautier, Gilles Puy, Spyros Gidaris, Alexandre Boulch, Andrei Bursuc, and Renaud Marlet. Image-to-lidar self-supervised distillation for autonomous driving data. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 9891–9901, 2022. 3
- [50] Hang Su, Subhransu Maji, Evangelos Kalogerakis, and Erik Learned-Miller. Multi-view convolutional neural networks for 3d shape recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pages 945–953, 2015. 2
- [51] Matias Turkulainen, Xuqian Ren, Iaroslav Melekhov, Otto Seiskari, Esa Rahtu, and Juho Kannala. Dn-splatter: Depth

- and normal priors for gaussian splatting and meshing. In *2025 IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV)*, pages 2421–2431. IEEE, 2025. 1
- [52] Mikaela Angelina Uy, Quang-Hieu Pham, Binh-Son Hua, Thanh Nguyen, and Sai-Kit Yeung. Revisiting point cloud classification: A new benchmark dataset and classification model on real-world data. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 1588–1597, 2019. 7
- [53] Mikaela Angelina Uy, Quang-Hieu Pham, Binh-Son Hua, Thanh Nguyen, and Sai-Kit Yeung. Revisiting point cloud classification: A new benchmark dataset and classification model on real-world data. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 1588–1597, 2019. 6, 7
- [54] Tingran Wang, Zaiyang Yu, Jianwei Fang, Jinlong Xie, Feng Yang, Huang Zhang, Liping Zhang, Minghua Du, Lusi Li, and Xin Ning. Multidimensional fusion of frequency and spatial domain information for enhanced camouflaged object detection. *Inf. Fusion*, 117:102871, 2025. 1
- [55] Ziyi Wang, Xumin Yu, Yongming Rao, Jie Zhou, and Jiwen Lu. Take-a-photo: 3d-to-2d generative pre-training of point cloud models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 5640–5650, 2023. 1, 3, 6, 8
- [56] Zhirong Wu, Shuran Song, Aditya Khosla, Fisher Yu, Linguang Zhang, Xiaoou Tang, and Jianxiong Xiao. 3d shapenets: A deep representation for volumetric shapes. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 1912–1920, 2015. 6, 7
- [57] Saining Xie, Jiatao Gu, Demi Guo, Charles R Qi, Leonidas Guibas, and Or Litany. Pointcontrast: Unsupervised pre-training for 3d point cloud understanding. In *European conference on computer vision*, pages 574–591. Springer, 2020. 8
- [58] Le Xue, Mingfei Gao, Chen Xing, Roberto Martín-Martín, Jiajun Wu, Caiming Xiong, Ran Xu, Juan Carlos Niebles, and Silvio Savarese. Ulip: Learning a unified representation of language, images, and point clouds for 3d understanding. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1179–1189, 2023. 3
- [59] Linqi Yang, Xiongwei Zhao, Qihao Sun, Ke Wang, Ao Chen, and Peng Kang. Splatpose: Geometry-aware 6-dof pose estimation from single rgb image via 3d gaussian splatting. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.05174*, 2025. 1
- [60] Li Yi, Vladimir G Kim, Duygu Ceylan, I-Chao Shen, Mengyan Yan, Hao Su, Cewu Lu, Qixing Huang, Alla Sheffer, and Leonidas Guibas. A scalable active framework for region annotation in 3d shape collections. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (ToG)*, 35(6):1–12, 2016. 7, 8
- [61] Xumin Yu, Lulu Tang, Yongming Rao, Tiejun Huang, Jie Zhou, and Jiwen Lu. Point-bert: Pre-training 3d point cloud transformers with masked point modeling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.14819*, 2021. 8
- [62] Xumin Yu, Lulu Tang, Yongming Rao, Tiejun Huang, Jie Zhou, and Jiwen Lu. Point-bert: Pre-training 3d point cloud transformers with masked point modeling. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 19313–19322, 2022. 2, 8
- [63] Xinlei Yu, Ahmed Elazab, Ruiquan Ge, Jichao Zhu, Lingyan Zhang, Gangyong Jia, Qing Wu, Xiang Wan, Lihua Li, and Changmiao Wang. Ich-prnet: a cross-modal intracerebral haemorrhage prognostic prediction method using joint-attention interaction mechanism. *Neural Networks*, 184:107096, 2025. 3
- [64] Xinlei Yu, Changmiao Wang, Hui Jin, Ahmed Elazab, Gangyong Jia, Xiang Wan, Changqing Zou, and Ruiquan Ge. Crisp-sam2: Sam2 with cross-modal interaction and semantic prompting for multi-organ segmentation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2506.23121*, 2025. 3
- [65] Zaiyang Yu, Lusi Li, Jinlong Xie, Changshuo Wang, Weijun Li, and Xin Ning. Pedestrian 3d shape understanding for person re-identification via multi-view learning. *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. Video Technol.*, 34(7):5589–5602, 2024. 2
- [66] Zaiyang Yu, Prayag Tiwari, Luyang Hou, Lusi Li, Weijun Li, Limin Jiang, and Xin Ning. Mv-reid: 3d multi-view transformation network for occluded person re-identification. *Knowl. Based Syst.*, 283:111200, 2024. 2
- [67] Renrui Zhang, Ziyu Guo, Peng Gao, Rongyao Fang, Bin Zhao, Dong Wang, Yu Qiao, and Hongsheng Li. Point-m2ae: multi-scale masked autoencoders for hierarchical point cloud pre-training. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.14401*, 2022. 2, 6, 8
- [68] Renrui Zhang, Liuhui Wang, Yu Qiao, Peng Gao, and Hongsheng Li. Learning 3d representations from 2d pre-trained models via image-to-point masked autoencoders. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 21769–21780, 2023. 1, 6, 7, 8
- [69] Zaiwei Zhang, Rohit Girdhar, Armand Joulin, and Ishan Misra. Self-supervised pretraining of 3d features on any point-cloud. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 10252–10263, 2021. 8
- [70] Xiongwei Zhao, Congcong Wen, Sai Manoj Prakhya, Hongpei Yin, Rundong Zhou, Yijiao Sun, Jie Xu, Haojie Bai, and Yang Wang. Multimodal features and accurate place recognition with robust optimization for lidar–visual–inertial slam. *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, 73:1–16, 2024. 3
- [71] Xiongwei Zhao, Xieyuanli Chen, Xu Zhu, Xingxiang Xie, Haojie Bai, Congcong Wen, Rundong Zhou, and Qihao Sun. An iterative task-driven framework for resilient lidar place recognition in adverse weather, 2025. 1
- [72] Peng Zhou, Rui Peng, Maggie Xu, Victor Wu, and David Navarro-Alarcon. Path planning with automatic seam extraction over point cloud models for robotic arc welding. *IEEE robotics and automation letters*, 6(3):5002–5009, 2021. 1
- [73] Qin Zou, Qin Sun, Long Chen, Bu Nie, and Qingquan Li. A comparative analysis of lidar slam-based indoor navigation for autonomous vehicles. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 23(7):6907–6921, 2021. 1